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AHRQ Quality Indicators

Inpatient Quality Indicators
- Mortality,
- Utilization,
- Volume

Prevention Quality Indicators
- Avoidable Hospitalizations / Other Avoidable Conditions

Pediatric Quality Indicators
- Neonatal QIs

Patient Safety Indicators
- Complications,
- Unexpected Death
Patient Safety Indicators (PSIs)

- Death in low mortality DRGs
- Pressure ulcer
- Death among surgical inpatients with treatable serious complications
- Foreign body left during procedure *
- Iatrogenic pneumothorax *
- Central line associated bloodstream infection *
- Postoperative hemorrhage or hematoma
- Postoperative hip fracture
- Postoperative physiological and metabolic derangement
- Postoperative PE or DVT
- Postoperative respiratory failure *
- Postoperative sepsis
- Postoperative wound dehiscence *
- Transfusion reaction *
- OB trauma – vaginal delivery with instrument
- OB trauma – vaginal delivery without instrument

* Also offered as area-level indicators
General uses of the AHRQ QIs

- Hospital quality improvement efforts
- Hospital association/council and vendor reports (Premier, HealthGrades)
- Aggregate reporting: National, state, regional
  - National Healthcare Quality / Disparities Reports
  - Commonwealth Fund’s Health Performance Initiative
- Research and policy evaluation
- Pay for performance (P4P) by hospital
  - CMS - Premier Demo
  - Anthem of Virginia, The Alliance (Wisconsin)
- Hospital level public reporting
  - Currently: Statewide public reporting *(upcoming slide)*
  - Upcoming: CMS Hospital Compare, including VA medical centers
- Hospital profiling: Public reporting and P4P
  - Blue Cross / Blue Shield of Illinois
Over half (60%) of the US has access to a public report in their state (n=19) that uses the AHRQ QIs.
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Hospital Compare

Hospital Compare is a public report of 4,500+ hospitals produced by CMS

Several AHRQ QIs (below) will be added by Dec. 2010

**Individual measures**
- Death among surgical inpatients with serious treatable complications
- Iatrogenic pneumothorax
- Postoperative wound dehiscence
- Accidental puncture or laceration
- AAA repair mortality
- Hip fracture mortality

**Composites**
- Patient safety for selected indicators
- Mortality for selected conditions
PSI Validation Methods

- Gather evidence on the criterion validity of the PSIs based on medical record review as “gold standard”
- Improve guidance about how to interpret & use the indicators, and evaluate potential refinements
- Retrospective cross-sectional study design
- Volunteer sample of 47 partners (78% nonprofit, nonreligious) plus parallel study of 28 VA hospitals by Rosen et al.
- Sampling based on administrative data using AHRQ QI software to generate desired sample size locally (30 per hospital) and nationally (240 per PSI) from 2006-7
- VA sampled 112 cases per PSI nationwide from 28 randomly selected hospitals (4 per hospital) from FY 2003-7
- Coordinated with UHC on Clinical Benchmarking Projects (involving volunteer AMCs) for Postop DVT/PE, Postop Respiratory Failure, and Pressure Ulcer.
Summary of PPV estimates from community hospitals

- APL, n=249
- PTX, n=205
- DVT/PE, n=121
- Selected inf, n=191
- Postop sepsis, n=164
- Postop resp failure, n=609

% cases:
- % Other
- % Exclusions
- % Miscoding
- % POA
- % PPV
Implications of validation work

- Coding changes are needed to enhance PPV for some indicators
  - AHRQ proposed new codes for DVT (adopted)
  - CMS proposed new code for catheter-associated bloodstream infection (adopted)
  - New codes needed for postoperative sepsis
- “Present at admission” data will substantially improve PPV of multiple PSIs
  - New PSI software release (V4.1) “requires” POA or estimates its mean value at the hospital level
- With these changes, most PSIs should have high PPV
- Data on sensitivity (false negatives) are still needed, but preliminary data raise concerns for Pressure Ulcer and Selected Infections
Moore Demonstration Project

- Goal 1: To develop a collaboration with 3 northern CA hospitals to collaboratively review cases flagged by PSIs
- Goal 2: To provide information useful for improving coding and quality of care in the future
- Retrospective cross-sectional design
- Consecutive sampling using AHRQ QI software to identify up to 100 cases of ≥4 PSIs at each hospital (10/07-2/09)
- “Present on admission” (POA) logic was used in V3.2, March 2008 software to reduce false positives
- Each hospital identified RN or MD abstractors, who were trained to use “root cause” PSI tools and guidelines
- Coordinating center (UC Davis) entered data from paper forms, identified discrepancies, and performed descriptive analysis of opportunities for QI
PSI 6: Iatrogenic pneumothorax
Opportunities for improvement

- Watch for inadequate documentation, such as “rule out” pneumothorax without alternative diagnosis established after study (CXR or CT)
- Increase use of “bedside” ultrasound guidance during placement of central venous catheters, especially in the OR, ICU, and ED (proven to reduce iatrogenic injury during IJ placement)
PSI 7: CVC-related bloodstream infection

Opportunities for improvement

- Identify tunneled catheters that are infected at admission and code as POA
- Minimize use of femoral venous catheters, which are associated with higher rates of infection
- Remove catheters at earliest opportunity consistent with patient safety
PSI 9: Postoperative hemorrhage/hematoma
Opportunities for improvement

- Logic of indicator may capture both intraoperative and postoperative hemorrhage (especially if bleeding persists after surgery)
- Impact of true positive cases was significant (i.e., most returned to OR), but opportunities for improvement are unclear
PSI 10: Postoperative physiologic/metabolic Opportunities for improvement

Postoperative renal failure requiring dialysis
- Earlier recognition of renal failure may be beneficial
- Evaluate use of nephrotoxic medication, especially NSAIDs in postoperative setting
- Review ionic contrast documentation & use

Postoperative diabetic complications
- Tighter blood sugar control and monitoring in type I DM postoperatively
- Consider insulin drips instead of implanted pumps and/or SQ in the immediate postop period
PSI 11: Postoperative respiratory failure
Opportunities for improvement

- Avoid using 96.04 code when intubation is an expected part of procedure
- Two cases of oversedation leading to respiratory complications
- Reasons for re-intubation or prolonged ventilation were often not documented
- Some patients probably could have been extubated earlier (and would then not have counted as respiratory failure)
- Several cases had massive blood loss which seemed to precipitate postoperative respiratory issues
PSI 12: Postoperative DVT/PE
Opportunities for improvement

- Watch for inadequate documentation, such as “rule out” DVT or PE without alternative diagnosis established after study
- Use new ICD-9-CM codes to capture chronic VTE
- More timely (day 0) use of pharmacologic prophylaxis may be beneficial, especially for perioperative patients at intermediate risk and without contraindications (consider adequacy of mechanical prophylaxis alone)
PSI 15: Accidental puncture or laceration
Opportunities for improvement

- Occasional overcoding of intraoperative bleeding or other routine events as APL
- Most true positive cases had extenuating circumstances, although some were probably preventable with earlier conversion of laparoscopic to open abdominopelvic surgery, or use of Doppler ultrasound to identify structures
- Hospitals with inexperienced operators performing technically difficult procedures may experience patterns of similar events
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Surgery and the Public’s Health

- 234 m surgeries annually: Exceeds Childbirth
- Surgical Complications = 11% Disease Burden
- 50% of Surgical Complications Preventable
“...every hospital should follow every patient it treats, long enough to determine whether or not the treatment has been successful...and if not, why not...”
“...I am called eccentric for saying in public that hospitals, if they wish to be sure of improvement,
1. Must find out what their results are
2. Must compare their results with those of other hospitals...”
3. Must analyze their results, to find their strong and weak points.

Ernest Codman 1869-1940

-Codman 1917
National PSI Rates
Morton 2010

High-Frequency Increasing PSIs

Risk-Adjusted Rate per 1000 Discharges

Year of Discharge

1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005

3: Decubitus Ulcer*
11: Postoperative Respiratory Failure**
12: Postoperative PE/DVT*
13: Postoperative Sepsis*

*D: Differ*
**Statistically Significant p<0.05

*Statistically Significant p<0.005
Data: Engaging Your Surgeons

- Leadership & Transparency
- Target Areas of Improvement: Consistent, Benchmark, Prioritize
- Data Drive Discussion
  - Denial
  - Anger
  - Bargaining
  - Depression
  - Acceptance
PSIs: Quality Diagnostic Tool
# 2007 Quality Improvement and Patient Safety Scorecard

## Patient Safety Indicators - Rate per 1,000

### Overall Performance Rankings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSI</th>
<th>2005 Rate per 1,000</th>
<th>2006 Rate per 1,000</th>
<th>Oct 2006 - Sep 2007 Rate per 1,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SHC Overall</td>
<td>SHC Median</td>
<td>SHC Rank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death in Low Mortality DRG</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>119/122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failure to Rescue</td>
<td>134.50</td>
<td>110.60</td>
<td>94/121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decubitus Ulcer</td>
<td>10.90</td>
<td>28.10</td>
<td>12/122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Body</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>65/122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iatrogenic pneumothorax</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>108/122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selected Infection due to Medical Care</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>77/122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Op Hip Fracture</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>92/120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Op Hemmorage/Hematoma</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>84/120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Op Phys/Metabolic</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>54/120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Op Respiratory Failure</td>
<td>11.20</td>
<td>12.70</td>
<td>47/120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Op PE or DVT *</td>
<td>18.90</td>
<td>15.60</td>
<td>84/120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Op Wound Dehiscence</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>26/118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accidental Puncture or Laceration</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>82/122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfusion Reaction</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1/122</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Run charts attached

## Comments:

The ARHQ indicators are surrogate measures for how well care is delivered based on complication rates. Overall our performance shows tremendous opportunity to improve our standings and requires focused efforts to drill down on the data and look for causal relationships.

Priority PI Initiatives include:
- Sepsis
- Post Op DVT
- Iatrogenic Pneumothorax

The Clinical Documentation program will establish a consistent baseline for how complications are assigned.
Strategic Clinical Initiatives:

- Iatrogenic Pneumothorax
- Postop DVT/PE
- Postop Hemorrhage or Hematoma
UHC Benchmark: IAP

AHRQ Patient Safety Indicators
Iatrogenic Pneumothorax
Rate per 1000

- Observed
- Target
- UHC Median
## Action Plan

**GOAL:** Reduce the rate of iatrogenic pneumothorax (IAP) from central venous catheterization (CVC) by 50% by 6 months.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Promote ultrasound-guided internal jugular (IJ) catheterization as the method of choice for CVC</td>
<td>- L. Shieh to revise CVC Website Curriculum &amp; Simulation Program to further promote IJ approach</td>
<td>Start Jan 22 &amp; ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit use of subclavian approach to:</td>
<td>• Drs. Maggio, Williams, Mihm &amp; Lee to educate ED, OR &amp; General Surgery. Drs. Mihm, Riskin and Daniels to educate ICU. Dr. Shieh to educate B2 &amp; D1.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>access to the neck is limited (e.g., trauma/code resuscitations)</td>
<td>• I. Tokareva to develop &amp; distribute educational materials to reinforce</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>patients with suspected neck injuries</td>
<td>• Monitor quarterly IAP rates for impact</td>
<td>June 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lack of other available sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Require all medical &amp; surgical interns to complete CVC Website Curriculum &amp; Simulation Program during orientation (“Bootcamp” for surgical interns)</td>
<td>- Drs. Shieh, Maggio, Williams, Mihm &amp; Lee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Iatrogenic Pneumothorax (IAP) Data

Findings

- CY 2007Q1-2009Q3 46% (27/59) of IAP were due to CVC line insertion and 54% (32/59) due to other causes: surgery, feeding tube placement and EP procedures. 12% (7 of 59) of patients expired

* Total of 6 cases are pending coding review
**Iatrogenic Pneumothorax (IAP) Data**

**Findings**

- Overall SHC IAP rate per 1000 discharges is trending down.
- The best performance occurred in 2009Q3 with SHC IAP rate of 0.56 per 1000 inpatient discharges, but this remains slightly above target. Please note that if 2 cases in 2009Q3 are recoded and removed, SHC IAP rate would be at zero.
Iatrogenic Pneumothorax (IAP) Data

Findings

- Overall IAP CY 2007-2009 rate is trending down
- 70% of CVC cases were due to SC (19/27)
  * Other – infrequent causes of IAP (occurred 1 time per service per cause)
IAP Key Improvements

- Ultrasound-guided IJ as the 1st choice for CVC placement
- Increased ultrasound availability
- Supervision requirement
- Simulation and CVC curriculum
- Cortrak device for feeding tube placement in ICU
Next Steps

- Develop a trigger rate for focused review of iatrogenic Pneumothorax
- Finalize Epic report demonstrating overall compliance to the CVC Procedure Note completion – IAP team Jan 2010
- LEVERAGE RESULTS
  - In collaboration with the Infection Control Department, develop a process to improve CVC documentation – 2010Q1
UHC Postop DVT/PE Measure

AHRQ Patient Safety Indicators
Post Operative DVT or PE
Rate per 1000 Surgical Inpatient Discharges

- Observed
- Target
- UHC Median

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Quarter</th>
<th>Observations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>N=2740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>N=2650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>N=2751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>N=2760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>N=2797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>N=2836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>N=2846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>N=2808</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Action Plan for DVT/PE

**Goal:** Reduce the rate of DVT & PE by 25% by December 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Agents</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monitor concurrent MD ordering practices of DVT prophylaxis &amp; educate/reinforce Epic order sets.</td>
<td>Quality Specialist to audit 10 charts/wk of General &amp; Ortho Surgery pts &amp; educate MDs.</td>
<td>Begin Feb 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review concurrent DVT/PE cases for adherence to DVT prophylaxis guidelines monthly.</td>
<td>Quality Specialist to perform audit based on monthly report of + radiology tests.</td>
<td>Feb 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examine &amp; present results from concurrent monitoring &amp; audit &amp; NSQIP data to providers.</td>
<td>P. Pilotin &amp; K. Bashaw to discuss results with Chairs of General &amp; Orthopedic Surgery.</td>
<td>Feb 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educate physicians to DVT guidelines and order sets.</td>
<td>P. Pilotin to develop/distribute materials of DVT guidelines &amp; screen shots of Epic DVT order set.</td>
<td>Feb 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish rules &amp; rates for DVT/PE cases for individual MD profiles.</td>
<td>Quality Dept to establish rules &amp; rates in Midas.</td>
<td>March 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refine DVT prophylaxis guidelines for medical patients.</td>
<td>K. Posley to review/revise guidelines.</td>
<td>Feb 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Concurrent Surgical Audit

- Concurrent audit started in Feb 08; conducted by Quality Specialist 24 hours after surgery on:
  - Orthopedic surgery
  - General surgery patients
- “Risk level” of patient is assessed by Quality Specialist & compliance determined based on current order
- Surgical DVT Prophylaxis must be ordered and 1st drug dose given within 24 hours after surgery
- If no order or inadequate order, a “fix-it” ticket is placed in medical record so MD can order or revise prophylaxis
Retrospective Surgical Audit

Postoperative Drug Prophylaxis Ordered and 1st Drug Dose Administered within 24 Hours of Surgery (N=17) (Aug-Oct 08)

- MD Order w/in 24 hrs of Surgery: 71%
- Receipt of 1st dose w/in 24 hrs of Surgery: 53%
### DVT/PE Risk Assessment in Epic

#### VTE Prophylaxis

- **Low Risk DVT/PE Prophylaxis**: (<5% risk of DVT; Patient <40 years old and minor surgery and no additional risk factors)
  - (Low Risk, No Pharmacological VTE Prophylaxis Indicated) Routine, ONCE
  - Sequential Compression Device (SCD) Routine, ONCE

- **Moderate Risk DVT/PE Prophylaxis**: (10-20% risk of DVT; Patient 40-60 years old with no additional risk factors or minor surgery in patients with additional risk factors)
  - enoxaparin (LOVENOX) 40 mg/mL syringe 40 mg, SUBCUTANEOUS, DAILY
  - heparin 10,000 units/mL injection (for subcut) 5000 Units, SUBCUTANEOUS, EVERY 12 HOURS
  - Sequential Compression Device (SCD) Routine, ONCE

- **High Risk DVT/PE Prophylaxis**: (20-40% risk of DVT; Surgery in patients >60 years old or age >40 years with additional risk factors)
  - enoxaparin (LOVENOX) 30 mg/mL syringe 30 mg, SUBCUTANEOUS, 2 TIMES DAILY
  - enoxaparin (LOVENOX) 40 mg/mL syringe 40 mg, SUBCUTANEOUS, DAILY
  - heparin 10,000 units/mL injection (for subcut) 5000 Units, SUBCUTANEOUS, EVERY 8 HOURS
  - Sequential Compression Device (SCD) Routine, ONCE

- **Highest Risk DVT/PE Prophylaxis**: (40-80% risk of DVT; Surgery in patients with multiple risk factors or hip or knee arthroplasty, hip fracture surgery, major trauma or spinal cord injury. Warfarin started day of surgery, target INR 2.3)
  - enoxaparin (LOVENOX) 30 mg/mL syringe 30 mg, SUBCUTANEOUS, 2 TIMES DAILY
  - fondaparinux (ARBEXTRA) 2.5 mg0.5 mL syringe 2.5 mg, SUBCUTANEOUS, DAILY
  - warfarin (COUMADIN) tablet Oral, DAILY
  - Sequential Compression Device (SCD) Routine, ONCE

#### VTE Adjunct Orders

- Early Ambulation Routine, AS TOLERATED
Incidence of DVT/PE by DRG Type with SCIP VTE Compliance
(Qtr 1 06 to Qtr 1 08)

Cases per 1000 Inpatient

SCIP VTE Compliance %

- Surgical Rate
- Overall Rate
- SCIP_VTE2 Compliance
Incidence of Medical and Surgical Cases

### Incidence of DVT/PE by MS-DRG Type
(CY 2006 Q1 to 2009 Q4)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th># of Cases</th>
<th>Rate p/1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006-1</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006-2</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006-3</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006-4</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-1</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-2</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-3</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-4</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-1</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-2</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-3</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-3</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Medical DRG Cases**
- **Surgical DRG Cases**
- **Medical Rate**
- **Surgical Rate**
- **Overall Rate**

#### Findings/Actions

- **Overall incidence of hospital-acquired DVT/PE reflects a downward trend**
  - Medical MS-DRGs - Remains unchanged
  - Surgical MS-DRGs - Decrease
- **Review process for fall out cases expanded to identify improvement opportunities**

*DVT Prophylaxis needs to be ordered within 24 Hours of Hospital Admission or document a contraindication.*
DVT/PE by Top Departments

Incidence of Surgical MS-DRG DVT/PE by Top Volume Departments
CY2008 Q1 to 2009 Q4

Findings / Actions

- Surgery department incidence of DVT/PE is up; reviewed at their PPEC
- Orthopaedics incidence increased as a result of scanning pts for DVT/PE; routine scans stopped 10/2009
- Individual department/physician incidence will be tracked over time for trends and reviewed at the respective PPECs
Multidisciplinary Team Improvements

- Revised VTE prophylaxis order set (#1289) on 2/26/09
  - Facilitates seamless documentation of medical decision making, including:
    - VTE risk stratification
    - Decision regarding pharmacologic prophylaxis
    - Documentation of contraindications to pharmacologic prophylaxis if they exist

*VTE Prevention Saves Lives.*

*Stanford is focused on reducing the incidence of hospital-acquired DVT/PE for all our patients.*
Next Steps

Strategies to improve patient screening and physicians' prescribing habits are needed.

- Leverage Epic reports to provide real time data

- **Services Roles**
  - Monitor compliance with Order set (#1289)
  - Address non compliance with the order set

- **Review DVT/PE Cases at Professional Practice Evaluation Committees (PPEC)**
  - Analyze fallout cases to evaluate current practices
  - Appropriateness of drug agent, drug dose and drug frequency
  - Identification of common trends in treatment/care
Postoperative Hemorrhage or Hematoma

General Surgery AHRQ Patient Safety Indicator (PSI)-Postoperative Hemorrhage or Hematoma CY2008 Q1-2008 Q4

Rate per 1000 Inpatients

Jan-Mar 2008: 4.5, 5.1
Apr-Jun 2008: 4.6, 4.5
Jul-Sep 2008: 7.0
Oct-Dec 2008: 14.5, 10.2

Stanford Hospital
General Surgery
UHC Median
AHRQ Target
Transfusion

- **Importance**
  - Complications (Infections, CA Recurrence)
  - Preventable
  - Cost

- **Prevention**
  - Review Cases for Pattern Recognition
  - Equipment Review
  - Establish Best Evidence for Transfusion
Comparison to other UHC Hospitals –FY2009

Compared to other hospitals, SHC uses > 1 unit of blood per discharge

FY09 Blood Usage Comparison
Units per Discharge

- Stanford-65,691
- Shands-52,274
- Univ MD-61,551
- Johns Hopkins-79,566
- Methodist-60,751
- Ohio State-65,836
- Wake Forest-49,966
- Univ AB-60,519
- Univ MN-47,843
- Univ MI-56,330
- Vanderbilt-62,346
- Barnes Jewish-65,616
- Brigham-51,873

Units per Discharge

- 2.82
- 1.79
- 1.71
- 1.64
- 1.54
- 1.46
- 1.43
- 1.35
- 1.31
- 1.27
- 1.21
- 1.16
- 0.98

Hospital - Number of Units of Blood
## Red Blood Cell Transfusions

- August 2008 – September 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hemoglobin</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;= 7</td>
<td>1,495</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1-8</td>
<td>3,720</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;8</td>
<td>9,239</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Red Blood Cell Transfusions

**Intervention:**

There will be an alert that pops up (only when the Hb is >7g/dl) when ordering RBC in EPIC that states the following:

“Your patient has a normal blood pressure and the last hemoglobin was ### on January 4, 2010 12:20 PST. Strong evidence suggests that in hemodynamically stable, non-bleeding patients a hemoglobin threshold of 7 g/dl (or 8 g/dl in acute coronary syndromes/post cardiac surgery) can decrease transfusion requirements and avoid adverse outcomes. Single unit transfusions are usually preferable. This guideline was endorsed by the Stanford Critical Care Committee & the Blood Transfusion Task Force.

Conclusion

Easily Available AHRQ PSI Data
Guide Quality Improvement
That Can Be Benchmarked, Measured, and Leveraged